

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/620,278	HARVEY ET AL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Vanessa L. Ford	1645	

All Participants:

(1) Vanessa L. Ford.

(2) Robert Hanson.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 February 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

all rejections

Claims discussed:

all claims

Prior art documents discussed:


N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Applicant was informed that a terminal disclaimer was needed in the application to overcome the double patenting rejection.
Applicant was informed that all other rejections would be withdrawn in the application.*

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)